# FILED

JUN 1 4 2016

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

## BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

### PETER THOMAS CONNICK,

Lawyer (Bar No. 12560).

Proceeding No. 10#10010

ODC File No(s). 15-01340

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

13

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Francesca D'Angelo, Respondent's Counsel Seth Alan Rosenberg and Respondent lawyer Peter Thomas Connick.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE Stipulation to Discipline WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION Page 1

1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1.	proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to		
2	avoid the risk, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.		
3	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE		
4	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on October 14,		
5	1982.		
6	II. STIPULATED FACTS		
7	2. Respondent served as general counsel for the Snoqualmie Tribe (Tribe) from 1997		
8	until January 2013.		
9	3. In or around 2001, the Tribe hired Matthew Mattson as Tribal Administrator.		
10	4. In or around 2007, Mattson presented an employment contract for his own		
11	employment to the Tribe for consideration.		
12	5. As general counsel, Respondent reviewed the contract, gave the Tribe his legal		
13	opinion on the contract, and attended the Tribal Council meeting where the contract wa		
14	discussed and approved.		
15	6. In January 2013, the Tribe terminated Respondent's employment as general counsel		
16	for the Tribe.		
17	7. In April 2013, the Tribe terminated Mattson's employment as Tribal Administrator.		
18	8. Between May and October 2013, Respondent provided legal research and advice to		
19	Mattson regarding his potential lawsuit against the Tribe for breach of his employment contract.		
20	9. On December 17, 2013, Mattson forwarded a copy of the Complaint that he planned		
21	to file against the Tribe to Respondent for his review. In the Complaint, Mattson sought		
22	damages for the Tribe's breach of his employment contract.		
23	10. On 2, 2014, Respondent provided Mattson with advice on strategy and suggested		
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION		

1.	revisions to the factual allegations in the Complaint		
2	11. On May 28, 2014, Mattson filed his Complaint against the Tribe in King County		
3	Superior Court.		
4	12. In or around August 2015, the Tribe brought a motion for summary judgment, asking		
5	the court to find as a matter of law that Mattson's employment contract was void.		
6	13. On September 7, 2015, Respondent filed a declaration on behalf of Mattson that		
7	contained information related to his representation of the Tribe, including detailed information		
8	surrounding the approval of Mattson's employment contract, the Tribe's discussions, and the		
9	legal advice he provided them.		
10	14. Respondent did not obtain the Tribe's informed consent prior to revealing the		
11	information related to his prior representation of the Tribe.		
12	15. Respondent did not seek or receive compensation or other financial benefit for his		
13	advice and actions in the Mattson matters.		
14	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT		
15	16. By providing legal advice and assistance to Mattson in his litigation against the Tribe		
16	without the Tribe's informed consent, confirmed in writing, Respondent violated RPC 1.9(a).		
17	17. By revealing information relating to his representation of the Tribe, when such		
18	disclosures were not authorized by the Tribe or permitted by the RPC, Respondent violated RPC		
19	1.9(c).		
20	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE		
21	18. Respondent has no prior discipline.		
22	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS		
23	19. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions		
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 3  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION		

Scattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1 || (1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case.

22

23

24

#### VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES

30. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$750 in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation.

#### IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT

- 31. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has consulted independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this Stipulation except as provided herein.
- 32. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.

#### X. LIMITATIONS

- 33. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from the result agreed to herein.
- 34. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.
  - 35. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties,

1	including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense o
2	hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As
3	such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate
4	sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in
5	subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved
6	Stipulation.
7	36. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Chief Hearing
8	Officer for his or her review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the
9	Hearing Officer, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.
10	37. If this Stipulation is approved by the Chief Hearing Officer, it will be followed by
11	the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for
12	Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.
13	38. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Chief Hearing Officer, this Stipulation will
14	have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence
15	in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any
16	civil or criminal action.
7	
8	
9	
20	
11	
2	
3	
4	Stimulation to Discipline OPECCE OF DISCUST BLADY COLDINGS OF THE

1	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully	advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation
2	to Discipline as set forth above	
3	Att Valle	Dated: 06/07/16
4	Peter Thomas Connick, Bar No. 12560 Respondent	
5		
6	Scth Alan Rosenberg, Bar No. 41660	Dated: 6/7/16
7	Counsel for Respondent	
8		Dated: 6/7/16
9	Francesca D'Angelo, Bul No. 22979 Disciplinary Counsel	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Stipulation to Discipline   Page 8	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600