NOV 2 8 2016

## BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Suspension, is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Erica Temple. Respondent's Counsel Ephraim William Benjamin and Respondent lawyer James N. Turner.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this

Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

ı

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325.4 Avenue, Suite 600 Scattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to		
2	avoid the risk, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.		
3	1. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE		
4	1. Respondent James N. Turner was admitted to the practice of law in the State of		
5	Washington on October 29, 1986.		
6	II. STIPULATED FACTS		
7	State v. DR		
8	1. In November 2014, DR was charged with felonies related to controlled substances		
9	and firearms in Pierce County Superior Court No. 14-1-04626-1.		
10	2. On March 17, 2015, Respondent substituted in as counsel for DR.		
11	3. On April 9, 2015, the parties appeared for a suppression hearing. After some		
12	testimony and argument, the court granted Respondent's request for a continuance to April 13,		
13	2015, with the motion to resume on that date.		
14	4. On April 13, 2015, Respondent did not appear, but another lawyer was present and		
15	informed the court that he had been retained by DR as co-counsel and had made attempts over		
16	the previous three days to reach Respondent to no avail. The court allowed the new lawyer to		
17	appear as lead counsel.		
18	5. On April 14, 2015, the court held a show cause hearing and Respondent again		
19	failed to appear.		
20	6. Respondent's failure to appear for court had an adverse procedural impact on the		
21	case, because the court had to expend additional resources, but did not impact DR's final plea		
22	bargain.		
23	7. Respondent charged DR a flat fee for his representation.		

1	8. Respondent did not have a signed written fee agreement with DR.		
2	9. DR did not pay Respondent directly; Respondent received \$2,000 cash from DR's		
3	prior lawyer, with the understanding that DR had access to more funds and would pay him after		
4	trial.		
5	10. Respondent did not adequately communicate with DR about what his fee was and		
6	how he expected to be paid for his legal services.		
7	11. Although Respondent eventually earned DR's fee, DR's unearned fees were not		
8	protected in an IOLTA account.		
9	State v. Dl		
10	12. In June 2014, DL was charged with Possession of Heroin with Intent to Deliver, a		
11	felony, in Pierce County Superior Court No. 14-1-02112-9		
12	13. On January 26, 2015, Respondent appeared on behalf of DL.		
13	14. The court set a Motion to Suppress on April 13, 2015, and Respondent did not		
14	appear.		
15	15. The court set the matter over to April 16, 2015, to allow for Respondent's		
16	attendance but he failed to appear again. DL told the court he wanted new counsel, and on April		
17	17, 2015 a new assigned counsel, appeared on his behalf.		
18	16. DL's new counsel called Respondent at least three times, but received no response.		
19	The new counsel was unable to obtain a client file from Respondent.		
20	17. Respondent charged DL a flat fee.		
1	18. Respondent did not have a signed written fee agreement with DL.		
22	19. DL paid in eash installments and Respondent did not deposit the money into trust.		
23	Respondent received approximately \$5,600,		
4	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE Page 3 WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION		

Page 4

1	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE			
2	29. In 2010, Mr. Turner received a reprimand for violating RPC 1.3 and RPC 1.4.			
3	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS			
4	30. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions			
5	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case and are attached as Appendix A:			
6	31. ABA <u>Standard</u> 4.4 is most applicable to cases involving a lack of diligen			
7	(violations of RPC 1.3).			
s	32. ABA Standard 6.1 is most applicable to cases involving conduct prejudicial to the			
9	administration of justice (violations of RPC 8.4(d)).			
10	33. ABA Standard 7.0 is most applicable to cases involving improper fees and improper			
11	withdrawal from representation (violations of RPC 1.5(b), RPC 1.15A(c), and RPC 1.16(d)).			
12	34. ABA <u>Standard</u> 8.0 is most applicable to cases involving prior discipline.			
13	35. Respondent acted knowingly in accepting flat fees from clients without a written fe			
14	agreement and without placing the funds in an IOLTA account.			
15	36. Respondent acted negligently in failing to appear in court and protect his client's			
16	interest upon withdrawal.			
17	37. Respondent caused injury to his clients, whose cases were delayed. His client'			
18	unearned fees were not protected in an IOLTA account or returned upon withdrawal. He caused			
19	injury to the court, which had to expend additional resources.			
20	38. The presumptive sanction is suspension.			
21	39. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9,22:			
22	<ul> <li>(a) prior disciplinary offenses [in 2010, Respondent received a reprimand];</li> <li>(d) multiple offenses;</li> </ul>			
23	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law [Respondent was admitted to practice in 1986].			
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE			

- 40. The following mitigating factor applies under ABA Standard 9.32:
- (c) personal or emotional problems [as documented in Appendix B, to be filed under seal].
- 41. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter at an early stage of the proceedings.
- 42. With the mitigating factor documented in Appendix B, and given Respondent's willingness to make his reinstatement conditioned on a fitness to practice evaluation, payment of restitution, and two years of probation, a downward departure from the presumptive sixmonth suspension is justified.

## VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE

- 43. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a 60 day suspension for his conduct.
- 44. Respondent will be subject to probation for a period of 24 months beginning when Respondent is reinstated to the practice of law and shall comply with the specific probation terms set forth below.
- 45. As a condition of reinstatement, Respondent shall, at least 30 days prior to a request for reinstatement, undergo an independent examination by a licensed clinical psychologist or psychiatrist to be approved by disciplinary counsel. Respondent shall execute all the necessary releases to permit this evaluator to obtain all necessary treatment records and make a report to disciplinary counsel addressing the following issues:
  - Whether Respondent has recovered from any issues identified by the evaluator as influencing Respondent's performance as a lawyer;
  - Whether Respondent's condition is such that he is currently fit to practice law.

24

- If the evaluator concludes that Respondent is not currently fit to practice law, the
  report shall recommend a course of treatment necessary to enable Respondent to
  return to the practice of law.
- 46. Respondent agrees to execute any necessary releases to allow disciplinary counsel and the evaluator full access to all health and treatment records and reports.
- 47. If the evaluator concludes that Respondent is not currently fit to practice law, Respondent (or Respondent's counsel, if Respondent is then represented) and disciplinary counsel shall meet to discuss the evaluator's report and what steps can be taken to address the evaluator's concerns. If Respondent and disciplinary counsel cannot reach an agreement, both parties shall present written materials and arguments to the Disciplinary Board. The Disciplinary Board shall decide whether and the conditions under which Respondent shall return to the active practice of law.
- 48. The conditions of probation are set forth below. Respondent's compliance with these conditions shall be monitored by the Probation Administrator of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel ("Probation Administrator"). Failure to comply with a condition of probation listed herein may be grounds for further disciplinary action under ELC 13.8(b).
  - 49. Respondent shall comply with any recommendations made by the evaluator.
  - 50. Respondent shall take all medications as prescribed by his medical providers.

## Practice Monitor

- 51. During the period of probation, Respondent's practice shall be supervised by a practice monitor. The practice monitor must be a WSBA member with no record of public discipline and who is not the subject of a pending public disciplinary proceeding.
  - 52. The practice monitor shall consult with and provide guidance to Respondent

regarding case management, office management, and avoiding violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct. While appointed as practice monitor during the probation period, the practice monitor does not represent the Respondent.

- 53. No later than 15 days after probation begins, Respondent may provide to the Probation Administrator, in writing, the name and contact information of a proposed practice monitor. The Probation Administrator may or may not approve the proposed practice monitor. If Respondent fails to propose a practice monitor within 15 days, or if the Probation Administrator does not approve the proposed practice monitor, the Probation Administrator will propose to Respondent a practice monitor. If Respondent objects to the Probation Administrator's proposal, ODC will submit a request that a practice monitor be appointed by the Chair of the Disciplinary Board. See ELC 13.8(a)(2). Respondent shall cooperate with the Disciplinary Board.
- 54. During the period of probation, Respondent shall meet with the practice monitor at least once per month. At each meeting, the practice monitor will discuss with Respondent each of Respondent's client matters, the status of each client matter. Respondent's communication with each client, upcoming deadlines, and Respondent's intended course of action. Meetings may be in person or by telephone at the practice monitor's discretion.
- 55. Respondent shall use written fee agreements with all clients, and provide proof to the practice monitor.
- 56. The practice monitor will provide the Probation Administrator with quarterly reports regarding Respondent's performance on probation. Each report must include the date of each meeting with Respondent, a brief synopsis of the discussion topics, and a brief description

1	of any concerns the practice monitor has regarding the Respondent's compliance with the RPC			
2	The report must be signed by the practice monitor. Each report is due within 30 days of the			
3	completion of the quarter.			
4	57. If the practice monitor believes that Respondent is not complying with any of hi			
5	ethical duties under the RPC or if Respondent fails to attend a monthly meeting, the practi			
6	monitor shall promptly report that to the Probation Administrator.			
7	58. Respondent shall be responsible for paying any and all fees, costs and/or expens			
8	charged by the practice monitor for supervision.			
9	59. Respondent shall bear all costs associated with compliance with the terms ar			
10	conditions of the stipulated discipline and reinstatement set forth herein.			
11	VII. RESTITUTION			
12	60. Reinstatement is conditioned upon payment of \$2,000 restitution to DL.			
13	VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES			
14	61. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early			
15	stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$1,000			
	in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under El			
16	in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC			
17	in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement			
17	13.9(l) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement			
17 18	13.9(1) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement from suspension is conditioned on payment of costs.			
17 18 19	13.9(l) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement from suspension is conditioned on payment of costs.  IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT			
17 18 19 20	13.9(1) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement from suspension is conditioned on payment of costs.  IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT  62. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has consulted			
17 18 19 20 21	13.9(1) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement from suspension is conditioned on payment of costs.  IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT  62. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has consulted independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this			

Stipulation by the Board, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law

1	Appendix A			
2	4.4 Lack of Diligence			
3	Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases involving a failure to			
4	act with reaso	nable diligence and promptness in representing a client:  Disbarment is generally appropriate when:		
	,,,,	(a) a lawyer abandons the practice and causes serious or potentially serious		
5		injury to a client; or (b) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes		
6		serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or (c) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect with respect to client matters and		
7	4.15	causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client.		
8	4,42	Suspension is generally appropriate when:  (a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes		
		injury or potential injury to a client, or		
9		<ul> <li>(b) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential injury to a client.</li> </ul>		
10	4.43	Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent and does not act		
11		with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes injury or potential injury to a client.		
•	4.44	Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent and does not act		
12		with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes little or no actual or		
13		potential injury to a client.		
	6.1 False Stat	tements, Fraud, and Misrepresentation		
14	Absen	at aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out		
15		in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases involving conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that		
		involves dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation to a court:		
16	6.11	Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer, with the intent to deceive the court, makes a false statement, submits a false document, or improperly		
17		withholds material information, and causes serious or potentially serious injury		
18		to a party, or causes a significant or potentially significant adverse effect on the		
10	6.12	legal proceeding.  Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows that false statements or		
19		documents are being submitted to the court or that material information is		
20		improperly being withheld, and takes no remedial action, and causes injury or potential injury to a party to the legal proceeding, or causes an adverse or		
		potentially adverse effect on the legal proceeding.		
21	6.13	Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent either in		
22		determining whether statements or documents are false or in taking remedial action when material information is being withheld, and causes injury or		
		potential injury to a party to the legal proceeding, or causes an adverse or		
23		potentially adverse effect on the legal proceeding.		
	1			

1		(a) negligently violates the terms of a prior disciplinary order and such violation causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, the legal
2		system, or the profession; or  (b) has received an admonition for the same or similar misconduct and
3		engages in further similar acts of misconduct that cause injury or potential injury to a client, the public, the legal system, or the profession.
4	8.4	An admonition is generally not an appropriate sanction when a lawyer viola
5		the terms of a prior disciplinary order or when a lawyer has engaged in the same or similar misconduct in the past.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	<u> </u>	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		