Page 1

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

# BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

**NEIL T. JORGENSON,** 

Lawyer (Bar No. 17008).

Proceeding No. 13#00108

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), and following a settlement conference conducted under ELC 10.12(h), the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Joanne S. Abelson, Respondent's Counsel Dayna E. Underhill, and Respondent lawyer Neil T. Jorgenson.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this Stipulation to Reprimand

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to		
2	avoid the risk, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.		
3	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE		
4	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on June 15,		
5	1987 and in the State of Oregon on December 22, 1972.		
6	II. STIPULATED FACTS		
7	2. Oma Mustain <sup>1</sup> died on December 4, 2011. Her will and codicil named her sons,		
8	Rick and Terry, as heirs and personal representatives.		
9	3. Rick resides in Oklahoma but came to the Vancouver, Washington area, where Oma		
10	resided, in November 2011 to assist with her care.		
11	4. Terry, who died in October 2013, resided in Vancouver. He had diminished		
12	capacity. His wife, Irene, had a power of attorney for him.		
13	5. A few days before Oma died, Rick hired Respondent to look into a guardianship for		
14	his mother.		
15	6. After Oma died, Rick hired Respondent to represent him as personal representative		
16	(PR). Respondent filed the paperwork to have Rick appointed.		
17	7. Terry and Irene, through their counsel, advised Respondent of their concerns that		
18	Rick had taken unauthorized funds from Oma and/or the estate and objected to Rick's		
19	appointment as PR without a bond.		
20	8. Respondent was unable to obtain a bond for Rick.		
21	9. Eventually, Respondent and counsel for Terry and Irene agreed that Respondent		
22			
23	<sup>1</sup> Since the family members share the surname Mustain, we refer to them by their first names to avoid confusion. No disrespect is intended.		
24	Stipulation to Reprimand  Page 2  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION		

1	would become PR.	
2	10. On December 30, 2011, the court entered a stipulated order admitting the will and	
3	codicil to probate and appointing Respondent as PR with nonintervention powers.	
4	11. By virtue of this appointment, Respondent also became attorney for the PR.	
5	12. Respondent has not worked diligently to close the Mustain estate.	
6	13. In April 2012, Respondent filed an inventory listing the assets at approximately	
7	\$270,000.	
8	14. In August 2012, Irene filed a grievance complaining about Respondent's failure to	
9	finalize the estates and, through counsel, has repeatedly brought her concerns to Respondent's	
10	attention.	
11	15. The estate consisted primarily of stocks and Oma's house, which Respondent sold in	
12	or about September 2012.	
13	16. Respondent's office prepared a draft final report in November 2012, but it was	
14	incomplete and was not filed.	
15	17. Respondent filed the final report and petition for decree of final distribution on	
16	February 24, 2014. The heirs were to receive approximately \$90,000 each.	
17	18. In March 2014, Respondent made a preliminary partial distribution to Irene and to	
18	Rick of \$33,000 each.	
19	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT	
20	19. By failing to pursue closure of the estate diligently, Respondent violated RPC 1.3	
21	and RPC 3.2.	
22	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE	
23	20. Respondent has no prior discipline.	
24	Stipulation to Reprimand OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE	

1	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS			
2	21. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanction			
3	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:			
4	Standard 4.4 Lack of Diligence			
5	4.41 Disbarment is generally appropriate when:			
6	(a) a lawyer abandons the practice and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or			
7	(b) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or			
8	(c) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect with respect to client matters and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client.			
9	4.42 Suspension is generally appropriate when:  (a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes			
10	injury or potential injury to a client, or (b) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential			
11	injury to a client.  4.43 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent and does not act			
12	with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes injury or potential injury to a client.			
13	4.44 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent and does not act with reasonable diligence in representing a client, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.			
14	22. Respondent initially acted negligently, but over time acted knowingly.			
15	23. The heirs suffered financial injury from the delay in closing the estate, and Irene was			
16	upset and frustrated by Respondent's handling of the matter.			
17 18	24. The presumptive sanction is suspension under Standard 4.42(a).			
19	25. The following aggravating factor applies under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.22:			
20	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law.			
21	26. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.32:			
22	<ul><li>(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record;</li><li>(b) absence of a dishonest or selfish motive.</li></ul>			
23	27. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter			
,				

1	at an early stage of the proceedings.
2	28. On balance, the presumptive sanction should be mitigated to a reprimand, based
3	primarily on Respondent's 42 years of practice without prior discipline.
4	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE
5	29. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a reprimand.
6	30. Respondent will be subject to probation for a period of two years beginning when
7	this stipulation receives final approval and shall comply with the specific probation terms set
8	forth below. Respondent's compliance with these conditions shall be monitored by ODC's
9	Probation Administrator ("Probation Administrator"). Failure to comply with a condition of
10	probation listed herein may be grounds for further disciplinary action under ELC 13.8(b).
11	31. During the probationary period, Respondent shall complete a minimum of 15 credit
12	hours of continuing legal education courses, at Respondent's own expense, in the areas of
13	conflicts of interest. CLE hours may be earned in Washington or Oregon.
14	32. Respondent shall provide evidence of attendance at such courses to the Probation
15	Administrator no later than 30 days after the conclusion of the course. Proof of attendance shall
16	include the program brochure, evidence of payment, and a written statement that includes the
17	date and time of attendance.
18	33. Respondent shall attend Ethics School (approximately six hours) during the term of
19	probation and pay registration costs of \$150. Respondent will receive all applicable approved
20	CLE credits for time in attendance at the Ethics School. Ethics School will be held at the
21	Association's office or CLE Conference Center.
22	34. Attendance at Ethics School is in addition to and shall not fulfill any continuing legal
23	education (CLE) requirements set out in this stipulation.
24	Stipulation to Reprimand OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE

Page 6

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### VII. RESTITUTION

41. Within 30 days of the date this stipulation is approved, Respondent shall pay Irene, through her counsel, \$337.50 to reimburse her for legal fees incurred by Respondent's failure to attend the hearing set for May 2, 2014.

## VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES

42. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$500 in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation.

# IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT

43. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has consulted independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this Stipulation except as provided herein.

### X. LIMITATIONS

- 44. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from the result agreed to herein.
- 45. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional

existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings. 2 46. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of 3 hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As 4 such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate 5 sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in 6 subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved 7 8 Stipulation. 9 47. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Hearing Officer for his or her review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Hearing 10 11 Officer, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law. 48. If this Stipulation is approved by the Hearing Officer, it will be followed by the 12 All notices required in the Rules for disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. 13 Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made. 14 49. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Hearing Officer, this Stipulation will have 15 16 no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any civil 17 18 or criminal action. 19 // // 20 21 // 22 // 23 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE Stipulation to Reprimand Page 8

1	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulati	
2	to Reprimand as set forth above.	
3 4	Neil T. Jorgenson, Bar No. 17008	Dated: (2, 8, 25x4)
5	Respondent	
6	(papalidolil	Dated: \$ 13,2014
7	Dayna E. Underhill, Bar No. 25677 Counsel for Respondent	\ <b>,</b>
8		Dotad
9	Joanne S. Abelson, Bar No. 24877 Senior Disciplinary Counsel	Dated:
10	Bentor Disciplinary Counser	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

1	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation	
2	to Reprimand as set forth above.	
3		Dated:
4	Neil T. Jorgenson, Bar No. 17008 Respondent	Dated.
5	Respondent	
6	Dayna E. Underhill, Bar No. 25677	Dated:
7	Counsel for Respondent	
8	m	Dated: 10 13 14
9	Joanne S. Abelson, Bar No. 24877 Semor Disciplinary Counsel	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22   23		
24	Stipulation to Reprimand Page 9	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue Suite 600

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207