. 1	FILED
1	Oct 17, 2025
2	Disciplinary
3	Board DISCIPLINARY BOARD
4	WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION Docket # DI9
5	
6	Notice of Reprimand
7	
8	Lawyer Suellen Howard, WSBA No. 20506, has been ordered Reprimanded by the
9	following attached documents: Order on Stipulation to Reprimand, Stipulation to Reprimand.
10	
11	
12	WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
13	
14	m'm'
15	Szilvia Szilágyi Counsel to the Disciplinary Board
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I caused a copy of the <u>Notice of Reprimand</u> to be emailed to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to Respondent, Suellen Howard, at suellenhoward@aol.com, on the 17th day of October, 2025.

Clerk to the Disciplinary Board

FILED
Oct 15, 2025
Disciplinary
Board

Docket # 017

DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

SUELLEN HOWARD,

Lawyer (Bar No. 20506).

Proceeding No. 25#00004

ORDER ON STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

On review of the October 14, 2025 Stipulation to Reprimand and the documents on file in this matter,

IT IS ORDERED that the October 14, 2025 Stipulation to Reprimand is approved. The scheduling conference set for October 14, 2025 at 11:00 a.m. is stricken.

Dated this 15 day of October, 2025.

Kenneth B Gorton Hearing Officer

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I caused a copy of the <u>Order on Stipulation to Reprimand</u> to be emailed to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to Respondent, Suellen Howard, at suellenhoward@aol.com, on the 15th day of October, 2025.

Clerk to the Disciplinary Board

FILED

Oct 15, 2025 Disciplinary

Board

Docket # 018

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

. 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

SUELLEN HOWARD,

Lawyer (Bar No. 20506).

Proceeding No. 25#00004

ODC File No(s). 23-01839

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Francesca D'Angelo and Respondent lawyer Suellen Howard.

Respondent understands that Respondent is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on Respondent's behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that Respondent is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to Respondent. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539
(206) 727-8207

1	avoid the	isk, time, and expense attendant to further proceedings.
2]	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE
3	1.	Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on June 3, 1991.
4		II. STIPULATED FACTS
5	1.	In October 2022, Client A hired Respondent to finalize Client A's dissolution by
6	attending r	nediation and completing the final dissolution paperwork.
7	2.	Respondent did not enter into a written fee agreement with Client A.
8	3.	In early October 2022, Respondent received \$2,500 from Client A.
9	4.	At the time Respondent collected the \$2,500 payment, Respondent had not earned
10	any portion	of the advance fee.
11	5.	Respondent treated the funds as a flat fee.
12	6.	Respondent did not deposit any of the funds into a trust account.
13	7.	Respondent eventually earned the \$2,500 fee.
14	8.	After the representation concluded, Client A requested their client file from
15	Responden	t.
16	9.	Respondent provided Client A with a copy of the physical records from Client A's
17	client file.	
18	10.	Respondent inadvertently provided Client A with documents containing information
19	related to th	e representation of one or more clients in matters unrelated to Client A's matter.
20	11.	Respondent did not obtain informed consent of the clients whose information was
21	disclosed.	
22	12. 1	Respondent was not impliedly authorized to disclose the documents in order to carry
23	out the repr	esentations of the other clients.
24	Stipulation to Page 2	Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

1	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
2	13. By failing to deposit client A's advance fees in a trust account, Respondent violated
3	RPC 1.15A(c)(2).
4	14. By disclosing information related to the representation of one or more clients, without
5	each of the clients' informed consent or any applicable exceptions permitting the disclosure(s),
6	Respondent violated RPC 1.6(a).
7	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
8	15. In July 2013, Respondent received a reprimand for conduct violating RPC 8.4(d), RPC
9	8.4(c) and RPC 3.1.3(a)(1). The conduct involved filing a pleading purportedly signed under
10	penalty of perjury by a client, without the client's authorization or actual signature.
11	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS
12	16. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions
13	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:
14	17. ABA Standard 4.2 is applicable to a lawyer's duty to preserve client confidences.
15	4.2 Failure to Preserve the Client's Confidences
16 17	4.21 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer, with the intent to benefit the lawyer or another, knowingly reveals information relating to representation of a client not otherwise lawfully permitted to be disclosed,
18	and this disclosure causes injury or potential injury to a client. 4.22 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly reveals information relating to the representation of a client not otherwise lawfully permitted to be disclosed, and this disclosure causes injury or potential
20	injury to a client. 4.23 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer negligently reveals information relating to representation of a client not otherwise lawfully
21	permitted to be disclosed and this disclosure causes injury or potential injury to a client.
2	4.24 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer negligently reveals information relating to representation of a client not otherwise lawfully permitted to be disclosed and this disclosure causes little or no actual or
24	potential injury to a client. Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 3 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE DAD ASSOCIATION

1

(206) 727-8207-

Stipulation except as provided herein.

1	of Lawyer Conduct will be made.
2	48. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Hearing Officer and Supreme Court, this
3	Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be
4	admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary
5	proceeding, or in any civil or criminal action.
6	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation to
7	Reprimand as set forth above.
8	Dated: 10/14/25
9	Suellen Howard, Bar No. 20506 Respondent Dated: 10 14 25
10	
11	Francesca D'Angelo Dated: 10/14/2025 Francesca D'Angelo, Bar No. 22979
12	Managing Disciplinary Counsel
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 8 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600