1 2 DEC 12 2012 3 DISCIPLINARY BOARD 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD 8 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 9 In re Proceeding No. 12#00036 10 ALEXANDER W. GAMBREL, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF 11 LAW AND HEARING OFFICER'S Lawyer (Bar No. 24018). RECOMMENDATION 12 13 14 In accordance with Rule 10.6 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), 15 the undersigned Hearing Officer held a default hearing on December 11, 2012. 16 FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING CHARGED VIOLATIONS 17 1. The Formal Complaint, a copy of which is attached hereto, charged Alexander W. 18 Gambrel with misconduct as set forth therein. 19 2. Under ELC 10.6(a)(4), the Hearing Officer finds that each of the facts set forth in 20 the Formal Complaint is admitted and established. 21 3. Under ELC 10.6(a)(4), the Hearing Officer concludes that violations charged in the 22 Formal Complaint (Bar File No. 2) are admitted and established as follows: 23 4. Count 1: By engaging in a pattern of missing deadlines and causing delay during 24

1	Mr. Vasquez's litigation against Kitsap Transit, and incurring sanctions imposed by the court,
2	Respondent violated RPC 1.3, RPC 3.2, and RPC 8.4(d).
3	5. Count 2: By failing to communicate with Mr. Vasquez regarding the status of his
4	case, Respondent violated RPC 1.4(a)(3) and (4) and RPC 1.4(b).
5	6. Count 3: By failing to return Mr. Vasquez's file when requested after the litigation
6	concluded, Respondent violated RPC 1.16(d).
7	7. Count 4: By failing to respond to Mr. Vasquez's grievance, Respondent violated
8	RPC 8.4(l) (by violating ELC 5.3(e)).
9	FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING RECOMMENDED SANCTION
10	Count 1: Violations of RPC 1.3, RPC 3.2, and RPC 8.4(d)
11	Violation of RPC 1.3
12	8. By missing deadlines and causing delay in Mr. Vasquez's case, Respondent
13	violated RPC 1.3.
14	9. ABA Standard 4.42 applies to Respondent's violations of RPC 1.3.
15 16	4.42 Suspension is generally appropriate when: (a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes injury or potential injury to a client, or
17	(b) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
18	10. Respondent acted knowingly in failing to meet court deadlines during Mr.
19	Vasquez's litigation and appeal. There was injury to Mr. Vasquez in that he had to pay \$2,000,
20	which consisted of \$1,175 in sanctions that had been imposed against Respondent plus interest.
21	11. The presumptive sanction is suspension.
22	Violation of RPC 3.2
23	12. By causing delay in Mr. Vasquez's case, Respondent violated RPC 3.2.
24	

1	(a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes injury or potential injury to a client, or
2	(b) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
3	22. Respondent acted knowingly in failing to keep Mr. Vasquez informed about the
4	status of his case. There was injury to Mr. Vasquez as a result of Respondent's failure to
5	communicate in that Mr. Vasquez was unaware of the 9th Circuit Court's decision and had to
6	obtain the information from other sources.
7	23. The presumptive sanction is suspension.
8	Violation of RPC 1.14(a)(4)
9	24. By failing to promptly comply with Mr. Vasquez's requests for information,
10	Respondent violated RPC 1.14(a)(4).
11	25. ABA Standard 4.42 applies to the violations of 1.4(a)(3).
12	4.42 Suspension is generally appropriate when:
13	(a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes injury or potential injury to a client, or
14	(b) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
15	
16	26. Respondent acted knowingly in not responding to Mr. Vasquez's requests for
17	information about his case. Mr. Vasquez was injured in that he spent considerable time and
18	energy attempting to contact Respondent without receiving responses from Respondent.
19	27. The presumptive sanction is suspension.
20	Violation of RPC 1.4(b)
21	28. By failing to explain Mr. Vasquez's case to him to the extent necessary to permi
22	Mr. Vasquez to make informed decisions regarding the representation, Respondent violated
23	RPC 1.4(b).
24	

1	29. ABA Standard 4.42 applies to the violations of 1.4(a)(3).
2	4.42 Suspension is generally appropriate when:(a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes
3 4	injury or potential injury to a client, or (b) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
5	30. Respondent acted knowingly in failing to explain Mr. Vasquez's case to him.
6	There was injury to Mr. Vasquez in that he was deprived of the opportunity to decide how his
7	case should proceed.
8	31. The presumptive sanction is suspension.
9	Count 3: Violation of RPC 1.16(d)
10	32. By failing to return Mr. Vasquez's file after the litigation concluded and when
11	requested, Respondent violated RPC 1.16(d).
12	33. ABA Standard 7.0 applies to the violations of RPC 1.16 and RPC 8.4(1).
13 14	7.2 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.
15	34. Respondent acted knowingly in failing to return Mr. Vasquez's file to him. There
16	was injury to Mr. Vasquez as he spent much time and effort attempting to obtain his files from
17	Respondent and still did not receive his property.
18	35. The presumptive sanction is suspension.
19	Count 4: Violation of RPC 8.4(I)
20	36. By failing to respond to Mr. Vasquez's grievance, Respondent violated RPC 8.4(<i>l</i>).
21	37. ABA Standard 7.0 applies to the violations of RPC 8.4(<i>l</i>).
22	7.2 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes
23	injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.
24	

1	38. Respondent acted knowingly in failing to cooperate in the investigation of the
2	grievance filed by Mr. Vasquez. His conduct caused injury to the public and the legal system
3	by delaying investigation of the grievance and increasing the resources necessary to conduct
4	the investigation.
5	39. The presumptive sanction is suspension.
6	Aggravating and Mitigating Factors.
7	40. The following aggravating factors identified in ABA Standards 9.22 apply in this
8	matter:
9	(a) prior disciplinary offenses [Respondent was suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years effective July 1, 2011]; and
10	(i) substantial experience in the practice of law [Respondent was admitted to practice in Washington State in 1994].
11	41. No mitigating factors identified in ABA <u>Standards</u> 9.32 apply in this matter
12	RECOMMENDATION
13	
14	42. Based on the ABA Standards and the applicable aggravating and mitigating
15	factors, the Hearing Officer recommends that Respondent Alexander W. Gambrel be
	suspended for a period of six months.
16	43. Respondent's reinstatement is conditioned upon a showing that he is fit to practice
17	law and only is taking appropriate prescribed medication. Fitness to practice is established by
18	the opinion of a health professional agreed to by both parties. Respondent shall sign all
19	necessary releases and pay all costs of this process prior to reinstatement.
20	RESTITUTION
21	44. The Hearing Officer recommends that Respondent pay the following restitution:
22	Benito Vasquez \$2,000
23	45. The Hearing Officer recommends that the amount of restitution bear interest at the
24	

1	rate of 12% per aimum and that Respondent's remistatement be conditioned upon payment in
2	full of the restitution.
3	46. In the event that the Lawyer's Fund for Client Protection of the Washington State
4	Bar Association (LFCP) compensates any individual entitled to restitution, Respondent shall
5	reimburse the LFCP for those amounts and reinstatement shall be conditioned upon
6	reimbursement.
7	
8	DATED this day of December, 2012.
9	Most Child
10	Anthony A. Russo,
11	Hearing Officer
12	
13	
14	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
15	I certify that I caused a copy of the Hi (D) A D's PUMMINGATION to be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed to HUA VALL (BANDLE)., Respondent's Counsel
16	no HUA MAIN GAMBLE, Respondent's Counsel at MISING ANK DY WEST MANY SPILE, by Certified the class mail, postage prepaid on the DVh day of DELLANDEN. 2017
17	n()///
18	Clerk/Columbel to the Disciplinary Board #150 Sem to:
19	Maria de la companya
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	