FILED

FEB 1 0 2014

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

Notice of Reprimand Page 1 of 1

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Notice of Reprimand

Lawyer Paul Michael Donion, WSBA No. 25053, has been ordered Reprimanded by the following attached documents: Order on Stipulation to Reprimand and Stipulation to Reprimand.

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

Jean K. McElroy

General Counsel/Chief Regulatory Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I caused a copy of the None of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed

to be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Course to Mark PWINA Respondent's Course to Course to Course the Course to Course the Course to Course the C

at 1900 AMM IN 1900 ACM, WHAT BY Certified the stellass mail postage prepaid on the 12th day of Francisco Cara 1914

Clery Chinsel to the Disciplinary Board

1	1	
2		FILED
3		
4		JAN 30 2014
5		DISCIPLINARY BOARD
6		
7	11	ORE THE JARY BOARD
8	[II]	THE
Ū	WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION	
9		1
10	In re	Proceeding No. 11#00098
11	Paul Michael Donion,	ORDER ON STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND
12	Lawyer (Bar No. 25053).	REFRIMAND
13		
14	On review of the January 23, 2014 Sti	pulation to Reprimand and the documents on file
15	in this matter, IT IS ORDERED that the January 23, 2014 Stipulation to Reprimand is	
16	approved.	
17		
18	Dated this 28 day of January, 2014. Joseph Nappi H. L. Zarrei, Jr.	
19		
20		
21	Hearing Officer #4744	
22		RIFICATE OF SERVICE DID THE DID TO SHUMDATION TO REPRUMENTED
23	to be delivered to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and to be mailed to Not William Respondent/Respondent's Counsel at William William William William By Certified first class mall:	
24		

Clerk Changel to the Disciplinary Board

FILED

FEB 0 5 2014

DISCIPLINARY BOARD

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PAUL MICHAEL DONION,

Lawyer (Bar No. 25053).

Proceeding No. 11#00098

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Marsha Matsumoto, Respondent's counsel Brett A. Purtzer, and Respondent lawyer Paul Michael Donion.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct, and sanction to avoid the risk, time,

Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

ORIGINAL

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

- 10. During the period January 2008 through December 2011, Respondent did not maintain client ledgers for the NWAI trust account.
- 11. During the period January 2008 through December 2011, Respondent did not perform bank statement or client ledger reconciliations for the NWAI trust account.
- 12. After a grievance investigation was opened against Respondent in July 2009, Respondent hired a contract bookkeeper to reconstruct the NWAI trust account records for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2011.
- 13. The reconstruction of Respondent's trust account records revealed that there was a shortage of client funds in the NWAI trust account. The shortage occurred because Respondent disbursed approximately \$27,000 from the trust account to himself, to his law firm, and to KK without identifying a client matter for the disbursement of funds. Respondent believes that some of these disbursements were for earned fees; however, Respondent is unable to demonstrate, due to inadequate recordkeeping, that he was entitled to any of the disbursements. Respondent also states that KK converted funds from the NWAI trust account by writing at least nine checks to herself and that, during the reconstruction of Respondent's trust account records, she attempted to conceal her conversion by altering copies of the checks to make it appear as if the checks were written to clients or third parties.
- 14. Respondent terminated KK's employment when he discovered that she had altered copies of the trust account checks.
- 15. The reconstruction of Respondent's trust account records also revealed that, on more than 20 occasions, Respondent failed to promptly disburse funds that his clients were entitled to receive.
 - 16. During the period December 2010 to March 2012, Respondent deposited a total of

•	
1	\$24,630.32 of his own funds to the NWAI trust account to address the shortage of client funds
2	in the account.
3	17. In December 2013, as a condition of this stipulation, Respondent deposited an ad-
4	ditional \$1,392.50 of his own funds to replace the remaining shortage in the NWAI trust ac-
5	count, disbursed \$1,429.74 to clients who were entitled to receive funds but had not received
6	them, and, in cases where he could not locate the clients, remitted \$17,840.62 to the Departmen
7	of Revenue, Unclaimed Property.
8	Tina Seal
9	18. In February 2007, Tina Seal (Seal) sustained an on-the-job injury while employed
10	as a truck driver in Washington.
11	19. At the time of her injury, Seal worked for Trans-System, Inc. (Trans-System), a
12	self-insured employer.
13	20. Seal's injuries forced her to stop working in June 2007.
14	21. Seal initially represented herself in her industrial insurance claim before the Wash
15	ington State Department of Labor and Industries (L&I) and Trans-System.
16	22. In July 2007, Seal started receiving time-loss payments from Trans-System
17	Trans-System generally issued Seal's time-loss checks every other week on a Friday, and she
18	received them a few days later.
19	23. In summer 2008, Seal contacted NWAI to inquire about her legal rights relating to
20	her industrial insurance claim.
21	24. In September 2008, Respondent entered into a fee agreement with Seal. Under the
22	terms of NWAI's fee agreement, Seal agreed to pay NWAI a 30% contingency fee on any bene-
23	fits secured by NWAI's representation, and a 7% administrative fee (not to exceed \$70) for each
24	

•	•
1	agreed to look into the matter, but continued to provide Seal with inadequate information due to
2	his reliance on KK. Finally, when Seal pressed for a copy of the May 8, 2009 Trans-System
3	check, Respondent confirmed that the check had been deposited to his trust account, but not
4	disbursed to Seal.
5	35. As of July 3, 2009, the NWAI trust account had a bank balance of only \$1,045.78,
6	when the account should have held at least \$1,196.44 (\$1,266.44 - \$70 fee) of Seal's funds from
7	the May 11, 2009 deposit.
8	36. On July 10, 2009, Respondent issued a check in the amount of \$1,196.44 to Seal to
9	cover the May 8, 2009 time-loss payment, less Respondent's \$70 administrative fee.
10	37. On July 15, 2009, Seal discharged Respondent as her lawyer.
11	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
12	38. By failing to maintain complete and accurate trust account records, Respondent vi-
13	olated RPC 1.15A(h)(2) and RPC 1.15B.
14	39. By failing to reconcile his trust account records, Respondent violated RPC
15	1.15A(h)(6) and RPC 1.15B(a)(8).
16	40. By failing to maintain client funds in a trust account, Respondent violated RPC
17	1.15A(c)(1).
18	41. By failing to promptly deliver funds to clients that they were entitled to receive,
19	Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(f).
20	42. By failing to promptly notify Tina Seal of the receipt of her funds and by failing to
21	promptly comply with reasonable requests for information, Respondent violated RPC 1.15A(d),
22	RPC 1.4(a), and RPC 1.15A(e).
23	43. By disbursing Tina Seal's time-loss benefits on behalf of another client, Respond-
24	

1	ent violated RPC 1.15A(h)(8).
2	44. By failing to adequately supervise his non-lawyer assistant, KK, Respondent vio-
3	lated RPC 5.3(b).
4	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
5	45. Respondent does not have a record of prior discipline with the Association.
6	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS
7	46. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions
8	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:
9	4.1 Failure to Preserve the Client's Property
10	Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out in 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases
11	involving the failure to preserve client property: 4.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly converts
12	client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client. 4.12 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows or should
13	know that he is dealing improperly with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
14	4.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes injury or potential injury to a client.
15	4.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent in dealing with client property and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a client.
16	
17	Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate
18	in cases involving a failure to act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client:
19	4.41 Disbarment is generally appropriate when:
20	(a) a lawyer abandons the practice and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client; or
20	(b) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes seri-
21	ous or potentially serious injury to a client; or
22	(c) a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect with respect to client matters and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client.
	4.42 Suspension is generally appropriate when:
23	(a) a lawyer knowingly fails to perform services for a client and causes injury or potential injury to a client, or
24	

1	11	a lawyer engages in a pattern of negle	ect and causes injury or potential in-
2	4.43	jury to a client. Reprimand is generally appropriate vent act with reasonable diligence in re-	
3		ry or potential injury to a client.	
4	4.44	Admonition is generally appropriate not act with reasonable diligence in re or no actual or potential injury to a cli	presenting a client, and causes little
5		. , ,	
6		olations of Duties Owed as a Profession taggravating or mitigating circumstar	nces, upon application of the factors
7		set out in Standard 3.0, the following in cases involving false or misleading	communication about the lawyer or
8		the lawyer's services, improper comm proper solicitation of professional em unreasonable or improper fees, unau	ployment from a prospective client,
9		withdrawal from representation, or fa duct.	
10	7.1	Disbarment is generally appropriate we conduct that is a violation of a duty over the conduct that is a violation of a	, ,, ,,
11		to obtain a benefit for the lawyer or a tially serious injury to a client, the pub	nother, and causes serious or poten-
12	7.2	Suspension is generally appropriate we conduct that is a violation of a duty over	hen a lawyer knowingly engages in
13		jury or potential injury to a client, the Reprimand is generally appropriate w	
14		conduct that is a violation of a duty ov jury or potential injury to a client, the	
15		Admonition is generally appropriate ved instance of negligence that is a vide	
16		sional, and causes little or no actual public, or the legal system.	or potential injury to a client, the
17	47. Res	pondent's failure to maintain adequate	trust account records, to reconcile his trust
18	account, and to	maintain client funds in a trust accoun	nt was negligent.
19 20	48. The	injury was actual in that clients who	were entitled to receive funds did not re-
21	ceive them and	clients, whose whereabouts have cha	inged and who cannot now be located, will
22	need to file a	claim with the Department of Revenu	e to recover their funds. Other clients re-
23	ceived their fur	nds, but their payments were delayed.	There was also potential injury in that cli-
	ent funds were	not protected in a trust account and	Respondent's records were inadequate to
24	Stimulation to Discir	Alina	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE

1	track client funds.
2	49. The presumptive sanction under ABA <u>Standards</u> 4.1 is reprimand.
3	50. Respondent's failure to inform Seal of his receipt of her May 8, 2009 time-loss pay-
4	ment, to adequately respond to her requests for information, and to promptly deliver her time-
5	loss payment was negligent.
6	51. The injury to Seal was actual. She was denied prompt and accurate information
7	about her benefits and she was denied timely payment of her May 2009 benefits, which caused
8	her personal and financial hardship.
9	52. The presumptive sanction under ABA <u>Standards</u> 4.1 and 4.4 is reprimand.
10	53. Respondent's failure to properly supervise his non-lawyer assistant was negligent.
11	54. As noted above, the injury was actual and potential in that clients did not receive
12	funds they were entitled to receive or their payments were delayed, client funds were not pro-
13	tected in a trust account, Respondent's records were inadequate to track client funds, and Seal
14	was denied prompt and accurate information about her case.
15	55. The presumptive sanction under ABA Standards 7.0 is reprimand.
16	56. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standards 9.22:
17	9.2 Aggravation
18	(d) multiple offenses;(i) substantial experience in the practice of law (Respondent was admitted to
19	practice law in 1995).
20	57. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA Standards 9.32:
21	9.3 Mitigation(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record;
22	(d) timely good faith effort to rectify consequences of misconduct;(l) remorse.
23	58. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter
24	

- v) Months 13–15. By no later than the 30th day of the sixteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month fifteen.
- vi) Months 16-18. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the nineteenth month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month eighteen.
- vii) Months 19-21. By no later than the 30^{th} day of the twenty-second month after the commencement of probation, Respondent shall provide the trust account records from the end of the previously provided quarter through the end of month twenty-one.

The trust account records Respondent provides to ODC for each quarterly review of his trust account(s) will include: (a) a complete checkbook register for his/her trust account(s) covering the period being reviewed, (b) complete individual client ledger records for any client with funds in Respondent's trust account(s) during all or part of the period being reviewed, as well as for Respondent's own funds in the account(s) (if any), (c) copies of all trust-account bank statements, deposit slips, and cancelled checks covering the period being reviewed, (d) copies of all trust account client ledger reconciliations for the period being reviewed, and (e) copies of reconciliations of Respondent's trust account check register covering the period being reviewed. The ODC's Audit Manager or designee will review Respondent's trust account records for each period.

- d) On the same quarterly time schedule set forth in the preceding paragraph, Respondent will provide ODC's Audit Manager or designee with copies of any and all fee agreements entered into within the time period at issue.
- e) The ODC's Audit Manager or designed may request additional financial or client records if needed to verify Respondent's compliance with RPC 1.15A and/or 1.15B. Within twenty days of a request from ODC's Audit Manager or designee for additional records needed to verify Respondent's compliance with RPC 1.15A and/or RPC 1.15B, Respondent will provide ODC's Audit Manager or designee the additional records requested.
- f) Respondent will reimburse the Association for time spent by ODC's Audit Manager or designee in reviewing and reporting on Respondent's records to determine his/her compliance with RPC 1.15A and RPC 1.15B, at the rate of \$85 per hour. Respondent will make payment within thirty days of each written invoice setting forth the auditor's time and payment due.

1	WHEREFORE the undersigned being ful	ly advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation
2	to Discipline as set forth above.	
3	(1)	Dated: //22/14
4	Paul Michael Donion, Bar No. 25053 Respondent	Dated. 1/22/1/
5	N. A.	Dated: 1/22 (14
6	Brett A. Purtzer, Bar No. 17283	Dated: 1/22/14
7	Counsel for Respondent	
8	Nauha Watsumoto	Dated: 1/23/14
9	Marsha Matsumoto, Bar No. 15831 Senior Disciplinary Counsel	,
10	Somo Susapinary Country	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	·	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Stipulation to Discipline Page 14

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207