APR **2 6** 2015

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

Page 1

Stipulation to Discipline

RAJIV NAGAICH.

Lawyer (Bar No. 32991).

Proceeding No. WI DED 44

ODC File No. 15-00533

STIPULATION TO REPRIMAND

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Reprimand is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Jonathan Burke, Respondent's Counsel Thomas M. Fitzpatrick and Respondent lawyer Rajiv Nagaich.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to

ORIGINAL

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	avoid the risk, time, expense, and publicity attendant to further proceedings.		
2	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE		
3	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on November		
4	13, 2002.		
5	II. STIPULATED FACTS		
6	2. Respondent is the principal owner of a law firm.		
7	3. Respondent's law firm routinely provides legal services to elderly and/or disabled		
8	clients. Some of these services include providing estate planning, drafting wills and trusts, and		
9	filing Medicaid applications.		
10	4. Respondent was negligent in setting up an adequate system at the firm for		
11	supervising staff.		
12	5. In August 2013, Respondent approved YW's hiring as a resource specialist. YW's		
13	application reflected that she had a prior felony conviction for theft related to her prior		
14	employment.		
15	6. YW informed the firm that her sister was responsible for the theft. At Respondent's		
16	direction, MB called a telephone number provided by YW that YW represented as the telephone		
17	number of the former employer. The person who answered the telephone corroborated YW's		
18	claims.		
19	7. YW's supervisor at the firm was MB, a non-lawyer, who reported to JE, the firm		
20	administrator.		
21	8. MB expressed concern about the propriety of YW's conduct to firm management on		
22	several occasions. Respondent was made aware of MB's concerns.		
23	9. At Respondent's direction, the firm performed another criminal background check of		
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 2 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600		

Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

I	YW about three months after she was employed. The criminal background check revealed that		
2	YW had two felony convictions for theft: (1) a conviction in Thurston County on November 15		
3	15, 2011, and (2) a conviction in Pierce County on August 1, 2013, which YW had previously		
4	disclosed. Respondent's firm was further reminded about YW's second felony with it received		
5	a letter, dated May 16, 2014, from the clerk of the Thurston County Court containing an Order		
6	to Withhold and Deliver funds to pay criminal restitution to the court.		
7	10. YW had substantial direct contact with the firm's clients and/or relatives of clients		
8	YW also had direct access to the personal information about clients, including personal		
9	identifiers and financial information.		
10	11. On December 13, 2014, Respondent received information that YW was receiving		
11	direct payments from one of Respondent's clients.		
12	12. When the management of Respondent's firm investigated YW, they discovered that		
13	YW had been convincing clients of the firm to pay her directly for services and then stealing the		
14	money paid by clients. After discovering the thefts, the firm promptly fired YW and reported		
15	her actions to the police.		
16	13. The management of Respondent's firm also investigated YW's billing and client		
17	files and discovered that YW had been billing clients for services that were not actually		
18	rendered. For example, the firm discovered that YW charged clients for preparing and filing		
19	Medicaid applications that were not actually prepared or filed.		
20	14. YW's illegal and unethical conduct would have been revealed and/or prevented		
21	earlier if Respondent's firm had implemented reasonable supervision of YW.		
22	15. On January 6, 2015, Respondent's firm sent a letter notifying certain clients that YW		
23	was no longer employed by the firm.		
,,	Calmulation to D' 1 1'		

1	16. Subsequently, Respondent hired a new firm administrator, JB, and directed him to			
2	fully re-investigate YW's conduct. As a result, Respondent's firm calculated a number of			
3	overbillings and losses due to YW's conduct.			
4	17. Respondent's firm paid, credited, and wrote-off substantial fees to clients in			
5	connection with YW's conduct. In addition, Respondent's firm paid consequential damages			
6	resulting from services that YW failed to timely perform. Respondent's firm also provided free			
7	legal services to assist in taking corrective action for YW's conduct.			
8	18. In the spring of 2015, JB implemented a management system for supervising lawyer			
9	and non-lawyer staff at Respondent's firm.			
10	19. JB is no longer employed by Respondent. Respondent has accepted GM as a partner			
11	of the firm, and is in the process of changing management.			
12	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT			
13	20. By failing to make reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm had in effect measures			
14	to ensure that non-lawyer staff's conduct complied with the RPC, Respondent violated RPC			
15	5.3(b).			
16	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE			
17	21. Respondent has no prior discipline.			
18	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS			
19	22. The following standard from American Bar Association Standards for Imposing			
20	Lawyer Sanctions (1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) applies to this case:			
21	7.0 Violations of Duties Owed as a Professional			
22	7.1 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in			
23	conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional with the intent to obtain a benefit for the lawyer or another, and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client, the public, or the legal system.			
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 4 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4 th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539			

(206) 727-8207

1

1		VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE	
2	30.	The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a reprimand.	
3	31.	Respondent will be subject to probation for a period of one-year beginning when	
4	this stipulation receives final approval and shall comply with the specific probation terms se		
5	forth below:		
6	a)	During the year of probation, Respondent and the individual at the firm responsible	
7		for supervising lawyers and non-lawyer staff will meet with a practice monitor agreeable to disciplinary counsel on a monthly basis. The parties agree that lawyer Arthur Lachman is acceptable to act as the practice monitor. If Mr. Lachman is	
8		unable to fulfill his duties as practice monitor, another practice monitor agreeable to disciplinary counsel will be appointed.	
9	b)	The practice monitor's task is to review, evaluate, and make recommendations to	
10		Respondent regarding the implementation and maintenance of adequate reasonable measures for Respondent, supervising lawyers, and non-legal staff so that their professional conduct is compatible with the professional obligations with the	
12		professional obligations of the RPCs.	
13	c)	In preparation for each monthly meeting with the practice monitor, Respondent will provide the practice monitor with a copy of all billing statements for legal services billed and/or provided during the month. The practice monitor is not expected or	
14		required to review all the billing entries. Respondent will provide the practice monitor with access to any client file requested by the practice monitor.	
15 16	d)	The practice monitor will submit a quarterly report (every three months) to the probation monitor at ODC (currently Thea Jennings). The quarterly report will	
17		disclose the date of each monthly meeting and include a short synopsis of the topics discussed at each meeting and any recommendation and/or concerns the practice monitor has regarding the ethical practices at Respondent's firm. Each quarterly	
18		report is due 30 days after the last monthly meeting for that quarter.	
19	e)	Respondent is responsible for paying for each consultation with the probation monitor.	
20		VII. RESTITUTION	
21	32. Does not apply.		
22	VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES		
23	33. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early		
24	Stipulation to	Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE	

1	stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$500 in	
2	accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(I	
3	if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation.	
4	IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT	
5	34. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation he has consulte	
6	independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into the	
7	Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the	
8	Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into thi	
9	Stipulation except as provided herein.	
10	35. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles	
11	applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.	
12	X. LIMITATIONS	
13	36. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in	
14	accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the	
15	expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer	
16	and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from	
17	the result agreed to herein.	
18	37. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all	
19	existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional	
20	existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.	
21	38. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties	
22	including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense o	
23	hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As	
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE Page 7 WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION	

1	such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate		
2	sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in		
3	subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved		
4	Stipulation.		
5	39. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Chief Hearing		
6	Officer for his review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Chief		
7	Hearing Officer, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.		
8	40. If this Stipulation is approved by the Chief Hearing Officer, it will be followed by		
9	the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the Rules for		
10	Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made.		
11	41. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Chief Hearing Officer, this Stipulation will		
12	have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be admissible as evidence		
13	in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary proceeding, or in any		
14	civil or criminal action.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			

1	1	
2	2 WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully advi	sed, adopt and agree to this Stipulation
3		_
4		
5	Rajiv Nagaich, Bar No. 32991 Respondent	Dated: C+ 19/1C
6	5 Casponacia	
7		Dated: 4/19/16
8	Thomas M. Pitzpatrick, Bar No. 8894 Counsel for Respondent	, ,
9	Longthan Bersto	4/
10	Conathan Burke, Bar No. 20910	Dated: 4/19/16
11	Senior Disciplinary Counsel	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		