

## BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

SABINA F. ROSENTHAL,

Lawyer (Bar No. 36219).

Proceeding No. 15#00064

STIPULATION TO A TWO-YEAR SUSPENSION

13

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to suspension is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Francesca D'Angelo, Respondent's Counsel Thomas M. Fitzpatrick and Respondent lawyer Sabina F. Rosenthal.

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

Respondent understands that she is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on her behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that she is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an

21 22

23

outcome more favorable or less favorable to her. Respondent chooses to resolve this proceeding OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE

Stipulation to Discipline

Page 1

WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to avoid the		
2	risk, time, expense attendant to further proceedings.		
3	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE		
4	1. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on June 8,		
5	2005.		
6	II. STIPULATED FACTS		
7	2. In or around February 2014, the King County Prosecuting Attorney filed a First		
8	Amended Information charging Respondent with one count of Conspiracy to Commit the Crime		
9	of Obtaining a Signature by Deception or Duress in violation of RCW 9A.60.030 and RCW		
10	9A.28.040.		
11	3. The elements of conspiracy, as charged in the First Amended Information are that		
12	Respondent, (a) with the intent that conduct constituting a crime be performed, (b) agreed with		
13	one or more persons to engage in or cause the performance of such conduct, and (c) any one of		
14	them took a substantial step in pursuance of such agreement.		
15	4. The elements of obtaining a signature by deception or duress are that Respondent,		
16	(a) by deception or duress and (b) with the intent to defraud or deprive, (c) caused another		
17	person to execute a written instrument.		
18	5. Conspiracy to commit the crime of obtaining a signature by deception or duress is a		
19	gross misdemeanor		
20	6. On February 5, 2014, Respondent pleaded guilty to conspiracy to commit the		
21	crime of obtaining a signature by deception or duress as charged in the amended information.		
22	7. Respondent entered her guilty plea knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.		
23	8. In a Statement of Defendant on Plea of Guilty filed in King County Superior County		
24	Page 2  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207		

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

In early 2008, in King County, Washington, I was working as a mortgage broker and helping Antonio Music obtain financing for two real estate purchase transactions. In June 2008, when I was unable to obtain financing for Mr. Music for the first transaction (regarding the purchase of a property located at 22014 108th Ave., Kent, Washington), Mr. Music applied for financing with Alaska USA Federal Credit Union. I continued to work with Mr. Music regarding the second transaction (another property in Kent, Washington) and I communicated with both Mr. Music and Alaska Federal Credit Union regarding the First transaction.

In July 2008, Mr. Music asked me to make a short term personal loan to him of \$48,000. I agreed to do so. On July 24, 2008, I authorized transfer of the money from my account for Mr. Music's benefit. I placed no restrictions on Mr. Music's use of these funds. This loan was not memorialized by a written loan agreement. I was aware that Mr. Music was attempting to obtain financing for two real estate purchases transactions when I made this loan: the one I was working on and the one through Alaska USA Federal Credit Union. I also understood that an undocumented loan of this amount would affect Mr. Music's perceived assets and liabilities to make it appear that his financial situation was more positive than it actually was and that the bank would rely on that deceptively positive financial picture in deciding whether to make the loan to Mr. Music. I further understood that if Mr. Music defaulted on the loan from Alaska USA Federal Credit Union, the bank could be derive of the loaned funds.

Mr. Music used the loan funds as part of the down payment required by Alaska USA Federal Credit Union without revealing that the funds were a loan from me, not his own funds. I understand and admit that these actions deceived Alaska USA Federal Credit Union concerning Mr. Music's financial situation and caused the bank to sign and execute the promissory note and deed of trust related to the purchase loan for the first transaction. Neither Mr. Music nor I revealed the existence of this undocumented personal loan to either Alaska Federal Credit Union to the government until after criminal charges were brought in this case on July 23, 2013.

9. On February 5, 2014, Superior Court Judge Susan Amini accepted Respondent's guilty plea and adjudged Respondent guilty of conspiracy to commit the crime of obtaining a signature by deception or duress as charged in the First Amended Information.

## III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT

10. By committing the crime of conspiracy to commit the crime of obtaining a signature

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

1	by deception or duress in violation of RCW 9A.60.030 and RCW 9A.28.40, Respondent			
2	violated RPC 8.4(b) and/or RPC 8.4(c) and/or RPC 8.4(i) and/or 8.4(k).			
3.	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE			
4	11. Res	pondent has no prior discipline.		
5	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS			
6	12. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions			
7	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:			
8		larger engages in serious criminal conduct a necessary element of		
9	(a)	which includes intentional interference with the administration of justice,		
10	v	theft; or the sale, distribution or importation of commoned substances, of the intentional killing of another; or an attempt or conspiracy or		
11	<b>A</b>	solicitation of another to commit any of these offenses; or		
12	(b)	fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation that seriously adversely reflects on the		
13	5.12	Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in		
14		5.11 and that seriously adversely reflects on the lawyer's niness to		
15	5.13	Reprimend is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in		
16		misrepresentation and that adversely reflects on the lawyer's niness to		
17	5.14	to the section of lowerer and other in any other		
18	13. Re	espondent pleaded guilty to intentional misconduct. There was actual injury to		
19				
20		faulted on the loan.		
21				
22	1	here do not appear to be any aggravating factors under ABA Standard 9.22.		
23	11	Sall and a mid goting fectors apply under ABA Standard 9.32:		
24	11	Discipline WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1324 A Avenue, Suite 600		
		Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207		

1	(a)	absence of a prior disciplinary record;		
2	<b>(f)</b>	inexperience in the practice of law [Respondent was admitted to practice in 2005. At the time of the misconduct, she had been a licensed lawyer		
3		for three years];		
4	(k)	imposition of other penalties or sanctions [Respondent has paid, \$32,015.66 in court ordered restitution to Alaska Federal Credit Union];		
5	(1)	remorse.		
6	, ,	is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent received no personal financial		
7	benefit from the transaction with Mr. Music.			
8	18. It is an additional mitigating factor that Respondent has agreed to resolve this matter			
9	at an early stage of the proceedings.			
10	19. Based on the factors set forth above, the presumptive sanction should be mitigated to			
11	a two year suspension.			
12		VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE		
13	20. T	he parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a two-year suspension for her		
14	conduct.	•		
15		VIL RESTITUTION		
16		4		
17		There is no restitution required by this stipulation. Respondent has paid \$32,015.66		
	in court ordered restitution to Alaska Federal Credit Union.			
18	VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES			
19	22. Iı	n light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early		
20	VI	proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$1,000		
21	•			
22	in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under F			
	13.9(1) if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstate			
23 24	from suspension is conditioned on payment of costs.  Stipulation to Discipline  Page 5  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION  1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600  Seattle, WA 98 101-2539			
		(206) 727-8207		

absence of a prior disciplinary record;

## IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT

23. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation she has consulted independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this Stipulation except as provided herein.

24. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.

## X. LIMITATIONS

25. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from the result agreed to herein.

26. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the respondent lawyer, and any additional existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.

27. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved

Stipulation to Discipline Page 6 OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

Stipulation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 7

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207