FILED

Aug 14 2018

Disciplinary Board

Docket # 016

BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

FRANCIS GREY,

Lawyer (Bar No. 36428).

Proceeding No. 17#00079

ODC File No. 17-01779

STIPULATION TO DISBARMENT

Under Rule 9.1 of the Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to Disbarment is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through Disciplinary Counsel Codee McDaniel, Respondent's Counsel Peter R. Jarvis and Respondent lawyer Francis Grey.

Respondent understands that he is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on his behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that he is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to him. Respondent chooses to resolve this

Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

22

23

24

1	false.
2	11. Due to Respondent's false representations, a passport was subsequently issued to
3	Respondent under the name "Stanley Rex Davis."
4	12. Respondent later received the passport.
5	13. On January 29, 2014, a Criminal Complaint was filed in the United States District
6	Court for the Eastern District of Washington charging Respondent with making a false
7	statement in an application for a passport, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1542.
8	14. The Criminal Complaint was supported by the affidavit of a United States
9	Department of State Special Agent describing the investigation into Respondent's use of a false
10	identity to obtain a United States Passport.
11	15. On February 11, 2014, an Indictment was filed in the United States District Court
12	for the Eastern District of Washington charging Respondent with making a false statement in an
13	application for a passport, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1542.
14	16. The crime charged in the Indictment is a felony, for which the maximum statutory
15	penalty is not more than 10 years imprisonment, a fine not to exceed \$250,000, a term of
16	supervised release of not more than 3 years; and a \$100 special penalty assessment.
17	17. The elements of the crime charged in the Indictment are (a) that Respondent made
18	a false statement in an application for a United States passport, (b) that Respondent made the
19	statement intending to get a United States passport for his own use, and (c) that Respondent
20	acted knowingly and willfully.
21	18. On June 10, 2014, Respondent pleaded guilty to making a false statement in an
22	application for a passport, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1542, as charged in the Indictment.
23	
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

1

2

- 19. Respondent admitted that he was guilty of the crime charged in the Indictment, and he agreed to the facts set forth in paragraphs 3-12 above. Although Respondent contends that his primary interest and objective was to demonstrate the porous nature of the United States passport system at the time, and although Respondent never sought to benefit financially from this passport or to use it for personal travel, Respondent's guilty plea effectively conceded that as a matter of law, these matters were not a defense to the crime charged.
 - 20. Respondent entered his guilty plea knowingly and voluntarily.
- 21. On June 10, 2014, United States Senior District Judge Edward F. Shea accepted Respondent's guilty plea and adjudged Respondent guilty of making a false statement in an application for a passport, as charged in the Indictment.
 - 22. Respondent did not report his felony conviction to disciplinary counsel.

III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT

- 23. By committing the crime of making a false statement in an application for a passport, Respondent violated RPC 8.4(b), RPC 8.4(c), RPC 8.4(i), and RPC 8.4(k).
- 24. By failing to report his felony conviction to disciplinary counsel, Respondent violated RPC 8.4(*l*) (by violating ELC 7.1(b)). Although Respondent did not understand this at the time, Respondent concedes that his duty to inform the Washington State Bar of his felony conviction did not end on June 8, 2014, the date on which Respondent submitted his voluntary resignation, but continued at least until June 13, 2014, the date on which Respondent's resignation became effective.

IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE

25. Respondent has no prior disciplinary history.

Page 4

23

1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207

Page 6

X. LIMITATIONS

42. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both Respondent and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from the result agreed to herein.

43. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or Respondent as a statement of all existing facts relating to the professional conduct of Respondent, and any additional existing facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.

44. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved Stipulation.

45. Under ELC 9.1(d)(4), the Disciplinary Board reviews a stipulation based solely on the record agreed to by the parties. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form the record before the Board for its review become public information on approval of the Stipulation by the Board, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law.

46. If this Stipulation is approved by the Disciplinary Board and the Supreme Court, it will be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in

24