Oct 7, 2022

Disciplinary Board

Docket # 001

DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SAMUEL D. SATTERFIELD,

Lawyer (Bar No. 50057).

Proceeding No. 22#00053

ODC File No. 22-00190

Resignation Form of Samuel David Satterfield (ELC 9.3(b))

I, Samuel David Satterfield, declare as follows:

- I am over the age of eighteen years and am competent. I make the statements in this
 declaration from personal knowledge.
 - I was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on October 29, 2015.
- I have voluntarily decided to resign from the Washington State Bar Association (the Association) in Lieu of Discipline under Rule 9.3 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC).
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is Disciplinary Counsel's statement of alleged misconduct for purposes of ELC 9.3(b). I am aware of the alleged misconduct stated in Disciplinary Counsel's statement, but rather than defend against the allegations, I wish to permanently resign from membership in the Association.

Resignation Form of Samuel David Satterfield (ELC 9.3(b))
Page 1

(206) 727-8207

1	endorsement and filing of this document with the Clerk, and that under ELC 9.3(c) Disciplinary		
2	Counsel must do so promptly following receipt of this document.		
3	12. When my resignation becomes effective, I agree to be subject to all restrictions that		
4	apply to a disbarred lawyer.		
5	13. Upon filing of my resignation, I agree to comply with the same duties as a disbarred		
6	lawyer under ELC 14.1 through ELC 14.4.		
7	14. I understand that, after my resignation becomes effective, it is permanent. I will		
8	never be eligible to apply and will not be considered for admission or reinstatement to the practice		
9	of law nor will I be eligible for admission for any limited practice of law.		
10	15. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the		
11	foregoing is true and correct.		
12	10/6/2022 Chehalis WA		
13	Date and Place Samuel D. Satterfield, Bar No. 50057		
14	ENDORSED BY:		
15	1 Roduan		
16	Francisco Rodriguez, Disciplinary Counsel		
17	Bar No. 22881		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	Resignation Form of Samuel David Satterfield OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL		

1		EXHIBIT	
2		A	
3			
4			
5			
6			
7 8	DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION		
9			
10	In re	Proceeding No.	
11	SAMUEL D. SATTERFIELD,	ODC File No. 22-00190	
12	Lawyer (Bar No. 50057).	STATEMENT OF ALLEGED MISCONDUCT UNDER ELC 9.3(b)(1)	
13			
14 15	The following constitutes a Statement of Alleged Misconduct under Rule 9.3(b)(1) of the		
16	Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC).		
17	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE		
18	Respondent Samuel David Satterf	ield was admitted to the practice of law in the State	
19	of Washington on October 29, 2015.		
20	II. ALLI	EGED FACTS	
21	Respondent began representing M	fichelle McCloskey in a dissolution matter in July	
22	2018.		
23	The court entered a decree of disso	elution on March 15, 2019, but the parties continued	
24	to have regular disputes regarding custody o Statement of Alleged Misconduct Page 1	f their children and compliance with the parenting OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION	

OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (206) 727-8207 plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 4. From April 2019 through March 2021, McCloskey repeatedly asked Respondent to schedule hearings and file motions with the court, and Respondent agreed to do so. McCloskey sought contempt findings against the father, revision and reconsideration of adverse rulings, clarification of prior rulings and the terms of the parenting plan, and a change in primary custody of the children.
- 5. Throughout this time period, Respondent repeatedly lied to McCloskey about hearings being scheduled and motions being filed with the court. Respondent lied to McCloskey about at least 35 hearings being scheduled and subsequently lied about these non-existent hearings being cancelled or postponed. In lying to McCloskey about hearings being canceled or postponed, Respondent often falsely blamed the court and/or opposing counsel for delays.
- 6. Respondent billed McCloskey for work that Respondent did not perform, including billing for drafting motions that were never filed and court appearances that never occurred, though it appears that through subsequent work, Respondent ultimately earned all the fees collected from McCloskey.
- 7. Respondent lied to ODC in responding to McCloskey's grievance, initially flatly denying lying to McCloskey and then later acknowledging being "dishonest" with McCloskey. Even when acknowledging dishonesty, Respondent minimized the extent of the dishonesty involved.
- Respondent lied to ODC about filing a motion for contempt in spring 2019 and a motion for reconsideration in March 2021. No such motions were submitted to the court.
- Respondent lied to ODC about hearings being postponed and/or canceled due to potential recusals by a court commissioner and judge and due to the unavailability of opposing

23

1	counsel. The hearings at issue were never scheduled. Respondent was not advised of any
2	potential recusals in this matter. Opposing counsel had not sent a notice of unavailability.
3	10. Respondent lied to ODC about the accuracy of Respondent's invoices, stating "I want
4	to be clear that I have done the work stated in my invoices" Respondent repeatedly billed
5	McCloskey for work that was never performed.
6	III. ALLEGED MISCONDUCT.
7	11. By failing to diligently file motions and schedule hearings, Respondent violated RPC
8	1.3, RPC 3.2, and RPC 8.4(d).
9	12. By lying to McCloskey about hearings being scheduled, postponed, and canceled and
10	falsely attributing responsibility for delays to the court and/or opposing counsel, Respondent
11	violated, RPC 1.4, RPC 8.4(c) and RPC 8.4(d).
12	13. By billing McCloskey for work that was not actually performed, Respondent violated
13	RPC 1.5(a) and RPC 8.4(c).
14	14. By lying to ODC during the course of a disciplinary investigation, Respondent
15	violated RPC 8.1(a), RPC 8.4(c), RPC 8.4(d), and RPC 8.4(l)(by violating ELC 1.5, 5.3(f), 5.3(g),
16	and 5.5(d)).
17	DATED this 26th day of September, 2022.
18	/01'
19	Francisco Rodriguez, Bar No. 22881
20	Disciplinary Counsel
21	
22	
23	
24	Statement of Alleged Misconduct OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL