FILED

Jun 12, 2025

Disciplinary Board

Docket # 022

DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

MATTHEW PHILIP GOLDMAN,

Lawyer (Bar No. 54657).

Proceeding No. 24#00076

ODC File No(s). 24-01700

STIPULATION TO DISBARMENT

Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), the following Stipulation to disbarment is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Claire Carden and Respondent lawyer Matthew Philip Goldman.

Respondent understands that Respondent is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on Respondent's behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that Respondent is entitled under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases, the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to Respondent. Respondent chooses to resolve this

Stipulation to Discipline Page 1

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
1325 4th Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539
(206) 727-8207

5

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to	
2	avoid the risk, time, expense attendant to further proceedings.	
3	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE	
4	1.	Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on January 31,
5	2019.	
6	2.	Respondent is currently interim suspended from the practice of law in Washington.
7	II. STIPULATED FACTS	
8	3.	In April 2024, Respondent was charged with Online Sexual Corruption of a Child in
9	the First Degree in violation of Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 163.433 and Luring a Minor in	
10	violation of ORS 167.057.	
11	4.	On July 31, 2024, Respondent pleaded guilty to Online Sexual Corruption of a Child
12	in the First Degree.	
13	5.	Online Sexual Corruption of a Child in the First Degree (ORS 163.433) is a felony.
14	6.	Online Sexual Corruption of a Child in the First Degree (ORS 163.433) is a crime of
15	moral turpitude.	
16	7.	A person commits the crime of online sexual corruption of a child in the first degree
17	if the person is 18 years of age or older, knowingly uses an online communication to solicit a	
18	child to engage in sexual contact or sexually explicit conduct, for the purpose of arousing or	
19	gratifying the sexual desire of the person or another person, offers or agrees to physically meet	
20	the child, and intentionally takes a substantial step toward physically meeting with or	
21	encounteri	ng the child. ORS 163.433(1).
22	8.	On July 31, 2024, the court dismissed the Luring a Minor charge.
23	9.	On October 1, 2024, the court sentenced Respondent to five years of supervised
24	Stimulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL	

1	probation.			
2	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT			
3	10. By committing the crime of Online Sexual Corruption of a Minor in the First Degree,			
4	Respondent violated RPC 8.4(b) and RPC 8.4(i).			
5	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE			
6	11. Respondent has no prior discipline.			
7	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS			
8	12. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions			
9	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to Respondent's violation of RPC 8.4(b):			
10	5.1 Failure to Maintain Personal Integrity Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the			
11	factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases involving commission of a criminal act that reflects adversely on the			
12	lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects, or in			
13	cases with conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation: 5.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when:			
14	(a) a lawyer engages in serious criminal conduct a necessary element of which includes intentional interference with the administration of justice,			
15	false swearing, misrepresentation, fraud, extortion, misappropriation, or theft; or the sale, distribution or importation of controlled substances; or			
16	the intentional killing of another; or an attempt or conspiracy or solicitation of another to commit any of these offenses; or			
17	(b) a lawyer engages in any other intentional conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation that seriously adversely reflects on the			
18	lawyer's fitness to practice. 5.12 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in			
19	criminal conduct which does not contain the elements listed in Standard 5.11 and that seriously adversely reflects on the lawyer's fitness to practice.			
20	5.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in any other conduct that involves dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or			
21	misrepresentation and that adversely reflects on the lawyer's fitness to practice law.			
22	5.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in any other conduct that reflects adversely on the lawyer's fitness to practice law.			
23	13. Respondent acted knowingly in committing the crime of Online Sexual Corruption of			
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL			

1	a Child in the First Degree.		
2	14. Respondent caused actual injury by bringing disrepute to the legal profession.		
3	15. The presumptive sanction for violating RPC 8.4(b) is suspension.		
4	16. No ABA Standard applies directly to Respondent's violation of RPC 8.4(i); however		
5	the presumptive sanction for committing a felony involving moral turpitude is disbarment. <u>In r</u>		
6	<u>Disciplinary Proceeding of Day</u> , 162 Wn.2d 527, 547, 173 P.3d 915 (2007).		
7	17. Where there are multiple ethical violations, the "ultimate sanction imposed should a		
8	least be consistent with the sanction for the most serious instance of misconduct among a number		
9	of violations." <u>In re Disciplinary Proceeding Against Petersen</u> , 120 Wn.2d 833, 854, 846 P.2		
10	1330 (1993) (quoting ABA <u>Standards</u> at 6).		
11	18. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.22:		
12	(b) dishonest or selfish motive.		
13	19. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA <u>Standard</u> 9.32:		
14	(a) absence of prior disciplinary record.		
15	20. On balance the aggravating and mitigating factors do not require a departure from the		
16	presumptive sanction of disbarment.		
17	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE		
18	21. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall be disbarred.		
19	VII. CONDITIONS OF REINSTATEMENT		
20	22. Reinstatement from disbarment is conditioned on payment of costs and expenses, as		
21	provided below.		
22	VIII. COSTS AND EXPENSES		
23	23. In light of Respondent's willingness to resolve this matter by stipulation at an early		
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL Page 4 OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION		

1	stage of the proceedings, Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$1,000	
2	in accordance with ELC 13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(
3	if these costs are not paid within 30 days of approval of this stipulation. Reinstatement from	
4	disbarment is conditioned on payment of costs.	
5	IX. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT	
6	24. Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation Respondent had a	
7	opportunity to consult independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is	
8	entering into this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC	
9	the Association, nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into thi	
10	Stipulation except as provided herein.	
11	25. Once fully executed, this stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principle	
12	applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party.	
13	X. LIMITATIONS	
14	26. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in	
15	accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the	
16	expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent lawyer	
17	and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from	
18	the result agreed to herein.	
19	27. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the respondent as a statement of all	
20	existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the Respondent, and any additional existing	
21	facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings.	
22	28. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties,	
23	including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of	

1	hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As		
2	such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropria		
3	sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible		
4	subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved Stipulation.		
5	29. Under ELC 9.1(d)(4), the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court review a stipulation		
6	based solely on the record agreed to by the parties. Under ELC 3.1(b), all documents that form		
7	the record before the Board for its review become public information on approval of the		
8	Stipulation by the Board, unless disclosure is restricted by order or rule of law		
9	30. If this Stipulation is approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, it will		
10	be followed by the disciplinary action agreed to in this Stipulation. All notices required in the		
11	Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct will be made. Respondent represents that, in addition		
12	to Washington, Respondent also is admitted to practice law in the following jurisdictions, whether		
13	current status is active, inactive, or suspended: Oregon.		
14	31. If this Stipulation is not approved by the Disciplinary Board and Supreme Court, this		
15	Stipulation will have no force or effect, and neither it nor the fact of its execution will be		
16	admissible as evidence in the pending disciplinary proceeding, in any subsequent disciplinary		
17	proceeding, or in any civil or criminal action.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	Stipulation to Discipline OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL		

1	WHEREFORE the undersigned being fully	advised, adopt and agree to this Stipulation to
2	Disbarment as set forth above.	
3	/s/Matthew P. Goldman	Detail: Mov.42, 2025
4	Matthew Philip Goldman, Bar No. 54657	Dated: May 12, 2025
5	Respondent	
6	Claim Cardan Par No. 50500	Dated: May 15, 2025
7	Claire Carden, Bar No. 50590 Disciplinary Counsel	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Stipulation to Discipline Page 7	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION